

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Criminal Petition for Review - Appeal**

**CR-26-0186-PR**

**STATE OF ARIZONA v JOHN FRANKLIN WRIGHT, III**

**Appellate Case Information**

Case Filed: 16-Jun-2026  
Case Closed:

**Dept/Composition**

**Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee**

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

**Attorneys for: Appellee**

Alice Jones, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 28062)  
Eric Knobloch, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 30269)

**Side 2. JOHN FRANKLIN WRIGHT, III, Appellant**

(Litigant Group) JOHN FRANKLIN WRIGHT, III

- John Franklin Wright, III

PRO SE

**CASE STATUS**

Jun 16, 2026....Awaiting Petition for Review

Jun 16, 2026....Pending

| PREDECESSOR CASE(S) |                   | Cause/Charge/Class | Judgment/Sentence | Judge, Role <Comments>                                    | Trial | Dispo |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| 1 CA                | 1 CA-CR 25-0073   |                    |                   |                                                           |       |       |
| ↗ MAR               | CR2023-128285-001 | See file           | See file          | Suzanne Marie Nicholls,<br>Sentencing<br>Comments: (none) | JURY  |       |

**2 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

- 16-Jun-2026 FILED: Motion for Extension of Deadline to File Petition for Post -Conviction Relief (Rule32) (Appellant Wright, Pro Se)
- 22-Jun-2026 Appellant Wright filed a "Motion for Extension of Deadline to File Petition for Post-Conviction Relief (Rule32)" on June 16, 2026. Under Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion. Further, the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." The motion is non-compliant in these respects. Accordingly,  
  
IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant Wright's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by July 13, 2026. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)